

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35511

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 400
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: March 30, 2009
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
DOUGLAS EARL WRIGHT, JR.,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for trafficking in marijuana, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge; PERRY, Judge;
and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Douglas Earl Wright, Jr. pled guilty to trafficking in marijuana. I.C. § 37-2732V(a)(1). The district court sentenced Wright to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Wright appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Wright's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.